UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CRAIG S. SIMAS, JOAN SIMAS,)
Plaintiffs)
)
V.) MDL. NO. 2419
) MASTER DOCKET NO. 1:13-md-02419-RWZ
OCEAN STATE PAIN)
MANAGEMENT, INC., et al)
Defendant)

ANSWER OF THE DEFENDANT, ABDUL BARAKAT, M.D. TO THE PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

FIRST DEFENSE

FIRST COUNT

- 1. The defendant denies all allegations of negligence that may apply to him in the Master Complaint.
- 2. The said defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
 - 3. No answer required.
- 4. The said defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
- 5. The said defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
- 6. The said defendant admits that the plaintiff was treated on the dates reflected in his treatment records and that medications were administered to him.
 - 7. No answer required.
- 8. The said defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
 - 9. No answer required. The documents speak for themselves.

- 10. The said defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
- 11. The said defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.
- 12. The said defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the First Count of the plaintiffs' Amended Short Form Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the said defendant denies that the plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against him.

SECOND COUNT

- 13. The said defendant repeats and reaffirms his answers to all Paragraphs of the plaintiffs' Second Amended Short Form Complaint.
 - 14. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 15. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 16. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 17. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 18. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 19. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 20. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.
 - 21. The allegations in this paragraph do not apply to this defendant.

WHEREFORE, the said defendant denies that the plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against him.

THIRD COUNT

- 22. The said defendant repeats and reaffirms his answers to all Paragraphs of the plaintiffs' Second Amended Short Form Complaint.
- 23. The said defendant admits that Ocean State purchased Methylprednisolone Acetate from NECC that it believed was manufactured in accordance industry standards and applicable state and federal regulations and laws.

- 24. Denied.
- 25. Denied.
- 26. Denied.
- 27. Denied.
- 28. Denied.
- 29. Denied.
- 30. Denied.

WHEREFORE, the said defendant denies that the plaintiffs are entitled to judgment against him.

SECOND DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that he did not manufacture, sell, market or distribute the product in question and that it has no liability because it is a separate entity not responsible for the acts of other defendants.

THIRD DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that the complaint fails to sufficiently identify any product, medication, or compound manufactured by this defendant.

FOURTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that the Uniform Commercial Code M.G.L.A. Chapter 106, Section 2-314 and/or Section 2-315 and R.I. Gen. Laws Section 6A-2-314 and 6A-2-315 do not apply to the provision of medical care and treatment and that the defendant cannot be found liable for any claimed violations of these statutes.

FIFTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that, as a provider of medical treatment, rather than a manufacturer or seller of a product, he is not subject to strict liability for a defective product that it purchased from another entity and administered to one of his patients.

SIXTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that he is not in the business of selling products, but rather is in the profession of providing medical services and that medications are administered to patients only as needed to deliver professional medical care. As such, the defendant is not subject to strict product liability, or liability for alleged violations of the Uniform Commercial Code of Massachusetts or Rhode Island.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that, even if the Uniform Commercial Code of Massachusetts and Rhode Island applied to the provision of medical care and treatment, the plaintiffs' complaint contains insufficient facts to establish any violations of the Uniform Commercial Code.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

And further answering the defendant states that the provision of medical care is not subject to the provisions of M.G.L.A. Chapter 93A, Sections 2, 9 and 11.

THE DEFENDANT, ABDUL BARAKAT, M.D. CLAIMS TRIAL BY JURY

/s/ Matthew R. Connors
MATTHEW R. CONNORS
BBO # 636358
Capplis, Connors & Carroll, P.C.
18 Tremont Street – Suite 330
Boston, MA 02118
(617) 227-0722
mconnors@ccclaw.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 20, 2015, I served the above Answer to Amended Short Form Complaint upon the Clerk of the Court, using the CM/ECF system, which then sent a notification of such filing (NEF) to all counsel of record.

/s/ Matthew R. Connors

MATTHEW R. CONNORS

Attorney for Defendant,

Ocean State Pain Management, Inc.